arXiv:hep-th/0508094v1 13 Aug 2005

Three Kinds of Special Relativity via Inverse Wick Rotation *

GUO Han-Ying,[1, 2, †] HUANG Chao-Guang,[1, ‡] XU Zhan,[3, §] and ZHOU Bin[4, ¶]
[1]Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 918-4, Beijing 100049, China,
[2]Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O.Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China,
[3]Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084,China,
[4]Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China.

Since the special relativity can be viewed as the physics in an inverse Wick rotation of 4-d Euclid space, which is at almost equal footing with the 4-d Riemann/Lobachevski space, there should be important physics in the inverse Wick rotation of 4-d Riemann/Lobachevski space. Thus, there are three kinds of special relativity in de Sitter/Minkowski/anti-de Sitter space at almost equal footing, respectively. There is an instanton tunnelling scenario in the Riemann-de Sitter case that may explain why Λ be positive and link with the multiverse.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 03.30.+p, 98.80.Jk, 02.40.Dr

In the special relativity (SR) in the Minkowski-space $M^{1,3}$ it is assumed that within a reference frame the rigid ruler should obey the Euclid geometry[1]. In view of field theory, the Minkowski-space $M^{1,3}$ is the inverse Wick rotation (IWR) version of 4-d Euclid space E^4. Not only all geometric issues in E^4 have their physical counterparts, but there are still additional important issues such as light-cone, simultaneity, Einstein's formula and so on in $M^{1,3}$ as well.

Historically, there is a well-known remarkable event in geometry on Euclid's fifth axiom. Once it is weakened, there should be three geometries — Riemann (sphere), Euclid, Lobachevski — at almost equal footing [2]. In 4-d cases, they are defined in the space, denoted as $S^4/E^4/H^4$, of positive, zero and negative constant curvature of finite or infinite radius R invariant under $SO(5)$, $ISO(4)$ and $SO(1,4)$, respectively. Remarkably, their IWR versions do make sense geometrically and are just the $dS^{1,3}/\ M^{1,3}/AdS^{1,3}$-space of constant curvature invariant under $SO(1,4)$, $ISO(1,3)$, $SO(2,3)$, respectively.

Thus, there is a simple question: are there such kinds of physics in the IWR version of 4-d Riemann/ Lobachevski geometry, the dS/AdS-space, that should be at almost equal footing with SR in $M^{1,3}$?

In the present letter, we take the Riemann geometry as an example and show that the answer is *yes*.

As the physics in IWR version of Riemann/Euclid/ Lobachevski geometry, there should be three kinds of SR, denoted as $SR_{c,R}$, in $dS^{1,3}/M^{1,3}/AdS^{1,3}$-space with $SO(1,4)$, $ISO(1,3)$ and $SO(2,3)$ invariance at almost equal footing, respectively. In fact, the Euclid assumption in SR has less observation base in the large scale. Thus, weakening the Euclid assumption should lead to three kinds of $SR_{c,R}$ based on the principle of relativity (PoR) and the postulate of invariant universal signal speed c and length R (denoted as $PoI_{c,R}$) [3].

In addition, there is an instanton tunneling scenario in the Riemann-dS case only that may explain why Λ should be positive and link with the multiverse.

To elucidate the above idea, we first recall the Beltarami model as differential geometric description of the 4-d non-Euclid geometry. We focus on the Riemann sphere S^4 and dS-space. The parallel discussion for the Lobachevski space H^4 and AdS-space is easily made.

The 4-d S^4 can be embedded in a 5-d Euclid space E^5:

$$\begin{aligned} S^4:\ \ \delta_{AB}\xi^A\xi^B &= R^2, \quad A,B=0,\cdots,4, &(1)\\ ds_E^2 &= \delta_{AB}d\xi^A d\xi^B. &(2)\end{aligned}$$

The Beltrami model is the intrinsic geometry of S^4 in the Beltrami space, denoted as $\mathcal{B}_R$, whose coordinate patch may be set up on the one tangent to S^4 at the origin $O(o^i=0)$ of Beltrami coordinates:

$$x^i := R\frac{\xi^i}{\xi^4}, \quad \xi^4 \neq 0, \quad i=0,\cdots,3, \tag{3}$$

with the Beltrami metric as (2) restricted on $\mathcal{B}_R$:

$$\begin{aligned} ds_E^2 &= \{\delta_{ij}\sigma_E^{-1}(x) - R^{-2}\sigma_E^{-2}(x)\delta_{ik}x^k\delta_{jl}x^l\}dx^i dx^j, &(4)\\ \sigma_E(x) &:= \sigma_E(x,x) = 1 + R^{-2}\delta_{ij}x^i x^j > 0. &(5)\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, to cover the whole $\mathcal{B}_R$, one patch is not enough, but it is easy to check all properties of S^4 should be well-defined in the Beltrami model patch by patch.

Since (1) and (2) are invariant under (linear) transformations of $SO(5)$, (4) and (5) are invariant under the fractional linear transformations with the same denominator, denoted by FLTs, among x^i. Their transitive form sending a point $A(a^i)$ to the origin $O(o^i=0)$ reads,

$$\begin{aligned} &x^i \rightarrow \tilde{x}^i = \pm\sigma_E(a)^{1/2}\sigma_E(a,x)^{-1}(x^j - a^j)N_j^i,\\ &N_j^i = O_j^i - R^{-2}\delta_{jk}a^k a^l(\sigma_E(a) + \sigma_E(a)^{1/2})^{-1}O_l^i, \qquad (6)\\ &O := (O_j^i)_{i,j=0,\cdots,3} \in SO(4).\end{aligned}$$

For two separate points $A(a^i)$ and $B(b^i)$ in $\mathcal{B}_R$,

$$\Delta_{E,R}(a,b)^2 = -R^2\left[\sigma_E(a)^{-1}\sigma_E(b)^{-1}\sigma_E(a,b)^2 - 1\right] \tag{7}$$

---

*This work is partly supported by NSFC under Nos. 90103004, 90403023, 10375087 and 10373003.

†Electronic address: hyguo@itp.ac.cn
‡Electronic address: huangcg@ihep.ac.cn
§Electronic address: zx-dmp@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn
¶Electronic address: zhoubihn@yahoo.com.cn

is invariant under (6). The proper length of great 'arc' between A and B is

$$L(a,b) \ = \ R\arcsin(|\Delta_E(a,b)|/R). \tag{8}$$

We may also have other issues in $\mathcal{B}_R$ analytically.

In view of projective geometry or simply the gnomonic projection, the Beltrami coordinates are inhomogeneous projective ones and the antipodal identification may be taken. Then the great circles in (1) are mapped to straight lines, exactly the geodesics in $\mathcal{B}_R$, and vice versa.

Now, we take an IWR. It changes the metrics from (δ_{AB}), (δ_{ij}) to $(\eta_{AB}) := diag(-1,1,1,1,1)$, $(\eta_{ij}) := diag(-1,1,1,1)$. Then both ξ^0 and x^0 become time-like. In order to introduce the time coordinate, a universal constant c of speed should be introduced, say $x^0 = ct$. Thus, there are two universal constants c and R.

Via such an IWR, the $S^4 \subset E^5$ and the Beltrami-space $\mathcal{B}_R$ turn to the dS-hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}_R$ in 5-d Minkowski-space $M^{1,4}$ and the dS-space with Beltrami metric (BdS-space), respectively. But, in order to preserve the orientation, the antipodal identification should not be taken.

The dS-hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}_R \subset M^{1,4}$ reads:

$$\mathcal{H}_R: \quad \eta_{AB}\xi^A\xi^B = R^2, \tag{9}$$

$$ds^2 = \eta_{AB}d\xi^A d\xi^B, \tag{10}$$

R may be identified with the cosmological constant, $R^2 := 3\Lambda^{-1}$. Clearly, Eqs. (9) and (10) are invariant under dS group $SO(1,4)$. Then the Beltrami metric in BdS-space reads

$$ds^2 \ = \ [\eta_{ij}\sigma(x)^{-1} - R^{-2}\eta_{ik}\eta_{jl}x^k x^l\sigma(x)^{-2}]dx^i dx^j \tag{11}$$

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(x,x) := 1 + R^{-2}\eta_{ij}x^i x^j > 0. \tag{12}$$

$\sigma(x) = 0$ is the (projective) boundary of BdS, denoted by ∂BdS. Under the IWR-version of (6),

$$\begin{array}{l} x^i \to \tilde{x}^i = \pm\sigma(a)^{1/2}\sigma(a,x)^{-1}(x^j - a^j)D^i_j, \\ D^i_j = L^i_j - R^{-2}\eta_{jk}a^k a^l(\sigma(a) + \sigma(a)^{1/2})^{-1}L^i_l, \\ L := (L^i_j)_{i,j=0,\cdots,3} \in SO(1,3), \end{array} \tag{13}$$

(11) and (12) are invariant.

The IWR-version of (7) in BdS,

$$\Delta_R(a,b)^2 = -R^2\left[\sigma(a)^{-1}\sigma(b)^{-1}\sigma(a,x)^2 - 1\right] \tag{14}$$

is again invariant under the FLTs of $SO(1,4)$. Thus, the 'great arcs' between A and B are classified as timelike, null or spacelike, according to

$$\Delta^2_R(a,b) \lesseqgtr 0. \tag{15}$$

The proper lengths of time/space-like 'great arcs' between A and B are then

$$S_{t-like}(a,b) \ = \ R\sinh^{-1}(|\Delta(a,b)|/R), \tag{16}$$

$$S_{s-like}(a,b) \ = \ R\arcsin(|\Delta(a,b)|/R). \tag{17}$$

Again, via the 'gnomonic projection' the timelik/null/spacelike 'great arcs' are mapped to timelike/null/spacelike straight lines in BdS-space, respectively. In particular, the timelike 'great arcs' describe the uniform motions for the law of inertia. Then the Beltrami-coordinates turn into the inertial coordinate systems in BdS-space. The property is just for a headstone of the PoR.

Thus, the IWR-counterpart of the Beltrami model of a 4-d Riemann space S^4 strongly indicates that the dS-invariant SR should be set up in BdS-space.

To set up dS-invariant SR, the PoR should be first restated in the following way. There exist a set of inertial coordinate systems, in which the free particles and light-signals move uniformly along straight lines, the laws of nature without gravity are invariant under the transformations among them. To replace the principle of invariant light speed, We propose the $PoI_{c,R}$: In the inertial reference frames, there exist two invariant universal constants — speed c and length R, which are the local speed of light in vacuum at the origin and curvature radius, respectively. Based upon the PoR and the $PoI_{c,R}$, the dS-invariant SR can be set up in BdS-space. The detailed discussion can be found in [3]. Here, only some main significance is summarized.

There is a *law of inertia* in BdS. Both the free particles with rest mass m and light signals without undergoing any unbalanced forces should keep their uniform motions. The motion is known as the inertial motion.

For an inertial motion of particles, the weighted 4-momentum $p^i = m\sigma^{-1}dx^i/ds$ and 4-angular-momentum $L^{ij} = x^i p^j - x^j p^i$, a weighted anti-symmetric tensor, are conserved. They satisfy the generalized Einstein's famous formula:

$$-\eta_{ij}p^i p^j - \frac{1}{2R^2}\eta_{ik}\eta_{jl}L^{ij}L^{kl} = m^2 \tag{18}$$

For the forced motions, the second law of mechanics can be written as

$$\frac{dp^i}{ds} = f^i, \qquad \frac{dL^{ij}}{ds} = M^{ij}, \tag{19}$$

where f^i is the weighted 4-force and $M^{ij} = x^i f^j - x^j f^i$ is the 4-moment. If one incorporates p^i and L^{ij} into 5-angular momentum $\mathcal{L}^{AB}$ and f^i and M^{ij} into 5-moment $\mathcal{M}^{AB}$ such that

$$p^i = R^{-1}\mathcal{L}^{4i} = -R^{-1}\mathcal{L}^{i4}, \qquad L^{ij} = \mathcal{L}^{ij}, \tag{20}$$

$$f^i = R^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{4i} = -R^{-1}\mathcal{M}^{i4}, \qquad M^{ij} = \mathcal{M}^{ij}, \tag{21}$$

then the second law will take the form

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}^{AB}}{ds} = \mathcal{M}^{AB}. \tag{22}$$

There are two kinds of simultaneity in dS-invariant SR, Beltrami time coordinate simultaneity and proper time simultaneity. The Beltrami time coordinate simultaneity is responsible for the inertial motion, inertial law, inertial reference frame, inertial coordinate system, etc. The proper time simultaneity is closely linked with the cosmological principle and comoving observers. In fact, if the proper time of the inertial observer at the spatial origin is taken as a 'cosmic time', the Beltrami metric (11) becomes a Robertson-Walker(RW)-like metric with a positive spatial curvature. It shows that the 3-d cosmic space is S^3 rather than flat. The deviation from the flatness is of order Λ. Our universe might be asymptotically expanding to it.

The two kinds of simultaneity do make sense in different types of observers' measurements. The first concerns the inertial observers $\mathcal{O}_I$'s measurements in their laboratories. The second concerns with cosmological observations of co-moving observers $\mathcal{O}_C$. In fact, the set of observers $\mathcal{O}$ become the inertial-co-moving observers $\mathcal{O}_{I-C}$ with two-time-scale timers. Referring to the Beltrami time but not the proper time, they are inertial, while inversely they are co-moving. Further, it is very meaningful that the relation between the Beltrami metric with coordinate time x^0 and its RW-like counterpart with cosmic time τ. It links the PoR in BdS-space with the cosmological principle in its RW-like version.

The above discussions are easily generalized to the Lobachevski space H^4 and AdS-invariant-SR. In fact, the original Beltrami model [4] is just for the Lobachevski plane.

In view of general relativity, the curvature of BdS-space is gravity. In view of dS-invariant SR, however, there is no gravity in BdS-space.

Thus, how to describe the gravity is to be studied further. In view of the gauge principle and the principle of equivalence in the sense of dS-invariance, the gravity might be local dS-invariant with local BdS-space anywhere and anytime in the universe.

In this sense of local dS-gravity [5] and its conformal extension [6], the Beltrami-space $\mathcal{B}_R$ may be regarded as a gravitational instanton of Euler number $e = 2$ with BdS-space as its IWR-version. Since both the 4-d Euclid and Lobachevski spaces E^4 and H^4 have no 4-d nonzero topological numbers, this may provide a tunneling scenario from nothing for the Riemann-dS case only with an *ensemble* of BdS-spaces of different cosmological constants. Although for the single instanton it is similar to the picture of quantum cosmology [7], here it may explain why Λ should be positive and also link with the multiverse (see, e.g.[8]). We will explain it in detail elsewhere.

In conclusion, there should be three kinds of SR as the physics of the IWR version of the Riemann/Euclid/ Lobachevski geometry at almost equal footing, respectively. Both the dS/AdS-invariant $SR_{c,R}$ can be set up based on the PoR and $PoI_{c,R}$. Their most properties are in analogous with Einstein-Poincaré's SR except there is a proper room of the curvature radius R or the cosmological constant Λ and coincide with SR if $\Lambda \to 0$. In fact, all local experiments allow there exist three kinds of SR at almost equal footing. Recent observations on the Univserse, however, imply that the dS-invariant SR with tiny positive cosmological constant may pay an important role as a foundation of physics in the large scale.

In addition, for the Riemann-BdS case, there is an instanton tunneling scenario from nothing. It may explain why Λ be positive and link with the multiverse.

We would like to thank Professor Q.K. Lu for valuable discussions.

[1] Einstein A 1905 Ann. der Phys. **17** 891.

[2] Rosenfeld B A 1988 A Histiry of Non-Euclidean Geometry–Evolution of the Concept of a Geometric Space (Berlin: Springer Verlag) pp. 229-231.

[3] Guo H-Y, Huang C-G, Xu Z and Zhou B 2004 Mod. Phys. Lett. **A19** 1701; Phys. Lett. **A331** 1; Guo H-Y, Huang C-G and Zhou B hep-th/0404010; Chang Z, Chen S-X and Huang C-G 2005 Chin. Phys. Lett. **22** 791; Guo H-Y, Huang C-G, Tian Y, Xu Z and Zhou B 2005 Acta Physica Sinica **54** 2494 (in Chinese) and references therein.

[4] Beltrami E, 1868 Opere Mat., **1** 374.

[5] Wu Y-S, Li G-D and Guo H-Y 1974 Kexue Tongbao (Chinese Sci. Bull.) **19** 509 (in Chinese); An Y, Chen S, Zou Z L and Guo H-Y 1976 *ibid* **21** 379 (in Chinese); Guo H-Y 1979 *ibid* **24** 202 (in Chinese); MacDowell S W and Mansouri F 1977 Phys. Rev. Lett **38** 739; *ibid* 1376; Townsend P K 1977 Phys. Rev. D15 2795.

[6] See, e.g. Chen S, Li G D and Guo H-Y 1979 Kexue Tongbao (Chinese Sci. Bull.) **24** 592 (in Chinese); Chen S, Li G D, Guo H-Y and Zhang Y Z 1980 Scientia Sinica **XXIII** 299.

[7] Hartle J B and Hawking S W 1983 Phys. Rev. **D28** 2960.

[8] Aguirre A and Tegmark M 2005 JCAP 0501 003.